|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Clause Number and Related specification** | **Comment** |
| Xiaomi | 5.8.9.1a.4  Do we need to reflect the condition for RRC\_IDLE/INACTIVE when duplication is configured and for all RRC states when duplication is not configured？ | [Rapp] after offline with Xiaomi, I understand the key point is about how to understand the case that “RRC\_CONNECTED UE with duplication is configured”, for which network will provide two carrier sets explicitly. But it seems better to further discuss/clarify this issue a bit more before reflecting it in spec. So suggest to pend this change till next meeting. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | The agreed changes based on P2, P3 in R2-2402227 are added in the section for PC5-S message:  1> if transmission of PC5-S message for a specific destination is requested by upper layers for sidelink SRB:  Should be added instead in the section for PC5-RRC message (?):  1> if a PC5-RRC connection establishment for a specific destination is indicated by upper layers: | [Rapp] Agree with the point that this conclusion also applies to PC5-RRC, yet it should be also applicable to PC5-S? not sure if I misunderstood any point here? If so, please be free to correct, thanks! |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |