|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Clause | Comment | Rapp Response |
| OPPO | 16.9.x.2 | **This** should be applicable to all cases, rather than limited to “default SLRB is used for a QoS flow and the SL-CAPC of the default SLRB is not configured”  When the default SLRB is used for the QoS flow and the SL-CAPC of the default SLRB is not configured, the UE derives SL-CAPC directly from the table below for standardized PQI and **selects the lowest SL-CAPC priority level (highest SL-CAPC value) among the associated QoS flows**.  Xiaomi: We think the current wording from IDC correctly reflect the following agreement:  **SL CAPC when CAPC of the default SLRB is not configured (P1:4757)**  select the lowest CAPC priority level (highest CAPC value) among the associated QoS flows  is there any other case? |  |
|  |  | **It** is not always the case, but should limited to the case where “default SLRB is used for a QoS flow and the SL-CAPC of the default SLRB is not configured”  For non-standardized PQI, the UE may s**elect selects the SL-CAPC of the standardized PQI having the which best matches the QoS characteristics of the non-standardized PQI based on the closest PDB**.  Xiaomi: same comment as OPPO. |  |
| Xiaomi | 16.9.x.4 | Typo “intendeds” should be “intends” |  |
| Xiaomi | 16.9.x.4 | the responding UE’s destination/source ID is not critical clear, should be “destination/source ID of PSSCH/PSCCH transmission from responding UE” .  similarly the responding UE’s destination ID should be “destination ID of PSSCH/PSCCH transmission from responding UE” |  |
| Xiaomi | 16.9.y | According to the LS from SA2, not sure if the following sentence also holds for BC/GC. Prefer to have editor notes for BC/GC. Also not sure if service should be reflected in AS spec?  **“The carrier(s) that can be used for transmitting data are configured by the V2X layer per service and QoS flow”.** |  |
| Huawei | 16.9.y | On this sentence " A UE using mode 2 resource selection performs carrier selection and may select one or more carriers used for sidelink. ": not sure which agreement this is based upon? do we really need this sentence? If it is from WID on "SL CA is applied for only mode 2", maybe we can wait on how to capture this? |  |