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# Introduction

* [Post122][058][Mob18] Contents of Cell Switch MAC CE (Huawei)

 Scope: Starting from proposals to R2 122 viewed in the light of agreements taken so far. Determine potentially agreeable points and points for discussion at R2 123 (open points)

 Intended Outcome: Report

 Deadline: Long

**Contact information**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name (Email)** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yulong (shiyulong5@huawei.com) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Related proposals @RAN2#122 meeting**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tdoc** | **Proposal** |
| [R2-2304688](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2304688%20Discussions%20on%20cell%20switch.docx) CATT | Proposal 1: The LTM triggering MAC CE can include the following information,* TCI state indication information;
* TA information for target cell;
* Value of LTM supervisor timer;
* Preamble index for intra-DU CFRA-based LTM, FFS for inter-DU.

Proposal 2: The BWP indication information is not included in the LTM triggering MAC CE.Proposal 3: Do not support SCell activation/deactivation via the LTM triggering MAC CE. |
| [R2-2304720](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2304720_Remaining%20issues%20for%20Cell%20Switching.doc) Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd | Proposal 1: RAN2 to discuss and agree on one of the following optionsOption 1: BWP IDs of BWPs to activate upon receiving cell change command are always signaled in MAC CE used for cell change.Option 2: For LTM, the fields’ firstActiveUplinkBWP and firstActiveDownlinkBWP are signaled in L1/L2 inter-cell mobility candidate (target) configuration. If BWP IDs of BWPs to activate are not included in MAC CE used for cell change, UE activates and uses BWPs indicated by these fields upon receiving cell change command.Proposal 7: RAN2 to discuss and agree on one of the following for RA resources for RA upon cell switch command* Approach 1: UE is configured with CFRA resources (list of one or more [preamble index/PO index/SSB index]) in candidate cell configuration in same manner as configured during the legacy handover/reconfiguration with sync i.e. by signaling rach-ConfigDedicated in candidate cell configuration. Common RACH configuration/parameters from RACH-ConfigCommon/ RACH-ConfigCommonTwoStepRA of BWP selected are used during RA procedure.
	+ Principles of RA type selection, carrier selection as in legacy handover/reconfiguration with sync are applied.
* Approach 2: UE is configured with CFRA resources (list of one or more [preamble index/PO index/SSB index]) in cell switch command. Common RACH configuration/parameters from RACH-ConfigCommon/ RACH-ConfigCommonTwoStepRA of BWP selected are used during RA procedure. The advantage of this is that UE can be configured with CFRA resources for SSB (s) based on latest measurement results.
* Approach 3: UE is not configured with CFRA resources. Common RACH configuration/parameters from RACH-ConfigCommon/ RACH-ConfigCommonTwoStepRA of BWP selected are used during RA procedure.

Proposal 8: Value indicating that the UE shall apply the TA of one source cell is not signalled in cell switch command. |
| [R2-2304889](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2304889%20Open%20Issues%20for%20LTM%20Procedure.docx) MediaTek Inc. | Proposal 2: In RACH-less LTM, network should provide UL grant for the first UL message in target cell. RAN2 to discuss the method, e.g., * Configured grant in candidate RRC configuration, or
* UL grant field in LTM command MAC CE.
 |
| [R2-2304891](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2304891%20Triggering%20MAC%20CE%20for%20LTM.docx) MediaTek Inc. | Proposal 1: The LTM command MAC CE should include at least the following fields:* + Candidate configuration identity: [2] bits
	+ TCI state ID(s): [7] bits for joint/DL TCI state, [6] bits for UL TCI state
	+ Joint or separate TCI state indication: 1 bit
	+ DL/UL indication: 1 bit
	+ TA value: [12] bits
	+ BWP IDs: 2 bits for DL BWP and 2 bits for UL BWP

Proposal 2: Wait for RAN1 decision on the following fields in LTM command MAC CE:* Triggering of aperiodic TRS transmitted from the target cell
* Triggering the CSI acquisition of the target cell and reporting to the target cell
* Triggering of aperiodic SRS transmission to the target cell
* Additional TCI state activation

Proposal 3: RAN2 to decide the following fields in the LTM command MAC CE, after agreements on related discussions:* Serving cell index
* SCell activation/deactivation
* CFRA resources availability
* UL grant for the first message
* C-RNTI
 |
| [R2-2304909](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2304909_Remaining%20issues%20on%20LTM%20procedures.docx) vivo | Proposal 6: A CFRA resource indication can be introduced in LTM cell switch command. Only when the indication indicates CFRA resource is available, UE applies the CFRA resource configured in the candidate cell configuration to access the target cell during LTM.Proposal 7: Dedicated RACH resource can be included in LTM cell switch command. If LTM cell switch command indicates RACH resource (shared by multiple UE in S-DU, S-DU ensures no collision occurs), UE applies the RACH resource to access the target cell.Proposal 8: For RACH-less LTM, a candidate cell can provide a UL resource poor to source DU. And source DU can dynamically allocate the UL resource of the pool to UE in LTM cell switch command. UE can use the indicated UL resource to send the first UL PDU in the target cell. |
| [R2-2304911](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2304911_RRC%20configuration%20for%20LTM.docx) vivo | Proposal 12: UE determines the BWPs (for DL and UL) to be activated upon the execution of LTM based on the firstActivateDownlinkBWP-Id and firstActivateUplinkBWP-Id within the configuration of target cell(s).Proposal 13: Upon the reception of LTM cell switch command, UE performs target SCell activation/deactivation based on the indication (i.e. sCellState field) within the pre-configured RRC configuration of target SCells. |
| [R2-2304953](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2304953%20cell%20switch_v1.docx) Fujitsu | Proposal 1: At least the following information can be included in the LTM cell switch command MAC CE:* Information to identify the target cell(s), FFS for the details, e.g. a set ID, a candidate configuration index and the indication of SpCell
* TA related information
* Unified TCI state index for the target cell, depending on RAN1
* Active DL and UL BWPs for the target cell, if it is different from the first active BWP signaled by RRC configuration

Proposal 2: In addition to existing SCell activation/deactivation mechanisms, the SCell activation/ deactivation state can be included in the LTM cell switch command MAC CE for intra-DU case so that the SCell activation/deactivation will be performed simultaneously with SpCell change.Proposal 4: RAN2 to discuss how to handle the activated SCells which are unchanged after the LTM cell switch. |
| [R2-2305167](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2305167%20NR%20MOB%20MAC%20CE.docx) Interdigital, Inc. | Proposal 1: The content of the cell switch MAC CE at least consists of:1. Candidate configuration ID (already agreed)
2. TA related information (agreed by RAN1)
3. 1 joint or 1 pair of UL and DL unified TCI State index for the target Cell (agreed by RAN1)
4. Active DL and UL BWPs for the target cell (agreed by RAN1)
5. FFS RAN1: Triggering of aperiodic TRS transmitted from the target cell
6. FFS RAN1: Triggering the CSI acquisition of the target cell and reporting to the target cell
7. FFS RAN1: Triggering of aperiodic SRS transmission to the target cell
8. FFS RAN1: C-RNTI

FFS: the presence of each field (i.e. always present or configurable)Proposal 2: By default the initial SCell state on the target cell after LTM cell switch is based on RRC configuration sCellState. At least for the intra-DU case, NW may indicate a new SpCell candidate configuration index and perform SCell activation/deactivation simultaneously using MAC CE.Proposal 3: BWP can be indicated in the RRC configuration of candidate cells, MAC CE indication in cell switch command is optional.Proposal 4: RAN2 to discuss whether the cell switch MAC CE may contain an indication of RACH resource and/or UL grant for the target cell. |
| [R2-2305295](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2305295%20-%20Discussion%20on%20MAC%20CE%20content%20and%20partial%20MAC%20reset%20for%20LTM.docx) OPPO | [Proposal 1 LTM cell switch MAC CE can indicate TCI state info, i.e., 1 joint or 1 pair of UL and DL unified TCI State index for the target cell.](#_Toc134795825)[Proposal 2 LTM cell switch MAC CE can contain TA info, if any.](#_Toc134795826)[Proposal 3 SCell activation/deactivation indication is not contained in LTM cell switch MAC CE.](#_Toc134795827)[Proposal 4 BWP information is not contained in LTM cell switch MAC CE.](#_Toc134795828)[Proposal 5 CFRA resource is not contained in LTM cell switch MAC CE.](#_Toc134795829) |
| [R2-2305541](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2305541%20LTM%20command%20MAC%20CE%20content%20and%20RAN3%20LS%20reply.docx) Huawei, HiSilicon, CATT, ZTE Corporation, Sanechips, vivo, China Unicom | Proposal 1: To support SCell activation simultaneously with LTM execution, the network (target cell) can set the “sCellState-r16” in the candidate configuration by RRC as supported currently, i.e. no need to include the SCell activation/deactivation in LTM MAC CE. Proposal 2: In inter-DU LTM, RAN2 excludes to include the active BWP ID in the LTM MAC CE. (FFS for intra-DU LTM)  |
| [R2-2305576](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2305576%20Contents%20of%20cell%20switch%20MAC%20CE.docx) Xiaomi | Proposal 1: The initial SCell state can be indicated by sCellState-r16 or the cell switch MAC CE. Proposal 2: The dedicated PRACH resource can be indicated by the cell switch MAC CE. |
| [R2-2305641](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2305641%3FFurther%20considerations%20on%20cell%20switch.doc) CMCC | Proposal 1: RAN2 to confirm that TCI state is supported in an MAC CE carrying LTM switch command.Proposal 2: RAN2 to confirm that TA value is supported in an MAC CE carrying LTM switch command.Proposal 3: RAN2 to confirm that L2 reset indication is not included in an MAC CE carrying LTM switch command.Proposal 4: RAN2 to confirm that CFRA resource are not included in the MAC CE carrying LTM switch command.Proposal 5: RAN2 to confirm that CFRA indication (i.e., valid or invalid) is included in the MAC CE carrying LTM switch command.  |
| [R2-2305649](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2305649%20Cell%20switch.docx) NEC | Proposal 3: If RAN2 can agree that the network can send the SCell Activation/Deactivation MAC CE with the LTM cell switch command MAC CE, it is up to network whether to activate/deactivate SCell(s) at LTM cell switch for intra-DU LTM. |
| [R2-2305908](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2305908%20_Discussion%20On%20RRC%20Reconfiguration%20Aspects.docx) Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Proposal 3: BWP to be used upon the LTM execution is either indicated directly within the candidate cell configuration for L1/L2 inter-cell mobility using RRC Configuration message or indicated in the LTM triggering using MAC CE.Proposal 4: If Option 2 is followed, the notification from the source to the target about the BWP used before the LTM is FFS. |
| [R2-2305909](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2305909_On%20the%20cell%20switch%20in%20LTMmand.docx) Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | For deciding the cell index three options exist:1. Source DU determines the cell index: In this option, the Source DU associates the PCI of the prepared target cell with the cell index, and it provides the association between the cell index and PCI to CU which in turn send it to the UE (and the other DUs, in case of Dynamic Switching).
2. CU determines the cell index: In this option the CU associates the PCI of the prepared target cell with the cell index, and it provides the association between the cell index and PCI to Source DU (and the other DUs, in case of Dynamic Switching) and to the UE
3. UE uses the ID of the target cell configuration (i.e., RRC configuration for L1/2 mobility) as cell index: In this option the CU informs the (source) DU about the ID of the target cell configuration.

Proposal 7: RAN2 to decide which of the options 1, 2, and 3 should be followed for indicating the target cell index in the LTM MAC CE. |
| [R2-2306010](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2306010-%20Discussion%20on%20RRC%20aspects%20for%20LTM.docx) Ericsson | [Proposal 7 The BWP to be used by the UE upon the execution of the LTM cell switch procedure can be indicated directly within the LTM candidate cell configuration.](#_Toc134736810)[Proposal 8 If UL/DL BWP IDs are included in the LTM cell switch command and are also present within the LTM candidate cell configuration, the UE shall consider as valid the one received in the LTM cell switch command (and ignore the ones in the LTM candidate cell configuration).](#_Toc134736811) |
| [R2-2306013](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2306013-%20LTM%20cell%20switch%20command%20and%20UE%20actions.docx) Ericsson | [Proposal 3 The BWP information in the LTM cell switch command MAC CE is indicated using BWP-ID referring to one of the configured BWPs within the LTM candidate cell configuration.](#_Toc134739287)[Proposal 4 The BWP information in the LTM cell switch command MAC CE contains the BWP-ID the UE shall apply as firstActiveDownlinkBWP and firstActiveUplinkBWP.](#_Toc134739288)[Proposal 5 As in legacy, the same BWP-ID is applied for both firstActiveDownlinkBWP and firstActiveUplinkBWP.](#_Toc134739289)[Proposal 6 The TCI-state information in the LTM cell switch command MAC CE refers to a TCI-state configured within the LTM candidate cell configuration.](#_Toc134739290)[Proposal 7 The initial state of an SCell upon an LTM cell switch is part of the LTM candidate cell configuration.](#_Toc134739291)[Proposal 8 Existing MAC CEs for SCell activation/deactivation are supported to change the state of an SCell upon the execution of an LTM cell switch (the MAC CE for SCell activation/deactivation is sent after the LTM cell switch MAC CE).](#_Toc134739292) |
| [R2-2306479](file:///D%3A%5CTdoc%20review%5CRAN2%23122%5Cword%5CR2-2306479%20Discussion%20on%20LTM%3Fcommand%3FMAC%3FCE%3Fcontent%3Fand%3FRAN3%3FLS%3Freply.docx) China Unicom | Proposal 2: Candidate SCell activation/deactivation information can be included in RRCReconfiguration message at the LTM preparation phase. Candidate SCell activation/deactivation can be optionally included in LTM MAC CE for intra-DU case. |

**Issue collection for [Post122][058]**

Following information is to be discussed in the long email [Post122][058][Mob18] Contents of Cell Switch MAC CE, on whether it can be included in the cell switch MAC CE (also about its format).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Information** | **Clarification** |
| TA related information | TA value | It can be included. | The discussion point may be on the format design in MAC CE.(pending on running CR discussion) |
| TA as zero | The need is confirmed by RAN1, and details on the format is up to RAN2.*”From RAN 1 perspective, without performing PDCCH-ordered RACH for candidate cell(s), RACH-less mechanism can be supported by indicating TA value of target cell as TA=0 or keeping the same value as source cell in cell switch command.*” |
| TA same as source TAG/cell |
| UE-based TA measurement | We may need to postpone the discussion, until RAN4 confirms the feasibility and RAN1 concludes on the detailed solution. |
| Beam indication/TCI state ID | It can be included. |
| Active BWP ID | RAN2 to discuss the need of active BWP ID in LTM cell switch MAC CE, in addtion to the legacy *firstActiveUplinkBWP* and *firstActiveDownlinkBWP* in RRC configuration.Also to clarify the applicable scenario: * intra-DU or inter-DU;
* RACH-less or RACH-based cell switch;
 |
| SCell activation/deactivation indication | RAN2 to discuss the need of SCell activation/deactivation in LTM cell switch MAC CE, in addtion to the legacy “*sCellState-r16*” in RRC configuration.Also to clarify the applicable scenario: intra-DU or inter-DU. |
| CFRA resource | CF CFRA preamble index | Motivation is to reduce the RACH resource reservation.Source cell can select the CFRA resource among the RACH resource shared by mulitple served UEs, by indicating the dedicated preable in LTM cell switch MAC CE.Also, it may indicate whether the CFRA resource in candidate configuration is available/valid or not (e.g. if the pre-configured RA resource is release/reallocated by target cell).Also to clarify the applicable scenario: intra-DU or inter-DU. |
| CFRA resources availability/validity indication |
| UL grant (to be used by target cell) | This is considered as the optimizaiton to the CG configured in RRC, which is related to the FFS in RAN2 agreement: ”*Configured grant can be used for RACH-less LTM, for the first UL data transmission to the target cell, the UE selects the configured grant occasion, which is associated with the beam indicated in the LTM MAC CE (as set by source cell). FFS further optimization*”Is it dynamic grant like the UL grant in RAR? Is it still type1 like configured grant? Is it a index of some shared CG pool? |
| C-RNTI (to be used by target cell) | RAN2 to discuss the need of C-RNTI in LTM cell switch MAC CE, in addition to the legacy *newUE-Identity* in *ReconfigurationWithSync* in RRC configuration.See the RAN1#113 meeting agreement”*Whether C-RNTI that is to be used by target cell needs to be included within the MAC-CE containing cell switch command will be left to RAN2 decision.*” |
| Value of LTM supervisor timer | This is related to whether LTM reuses the same timer for both RACH-less and RACH-based cell switch.Even if the RACH-less specific timer is agreed, it is still the baseline to consider using RRC to configure the timer value. |

**Question A: Do you see the need of any other information to be included in the LTM cell switch MAC CE?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Other more content to be discussed** | **Motivation/Clarification** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Some outstanding open issues in the Editor’s Notes of MAC running CR are also to be discussed in the long email [Post122][058][Mob18] Contents of Cell Switch MAC CE:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Open issue** | **Description/clarification** |
| #1 | For LTM completion, how UE to determine the successful reception of its first UL data by the network (left over issue which may need a new MAC CE in MAC):-Option 1: RLC ACK of RRCReconfigurationComplete message-Option 2: C-RNTI addressed PDCCH-Option 3: UE Contention Resolution identify MAC CE |
| #2 | The fields size in MAC CEs:* “Target Configuration ID” field in the LTM Command MAC CE, i.e. the maximum number of candiate cells in RRC configured LTM
* 8/16/?
* Considering the MAC CE format to be OCT aligned;
* The maximum number for CHO candidate is 8;
* “Candidate Cell ID” field in the Candidate Cell TCI States Activation/Deactivation MAC CE, i.e. the maximum number of candiate cells in RRC configured TCI state
* 4/8/?
* Note the maximum number of reported cell in L1 measurement report is 4, as agreed by RAN1.
* “Cell indicator” in PDCCH order for early RACH, i.e. the maximum number of candiate cells in RRC configured early RACH resource
* 4/8/?
* Note the maximum number of reported cell in L1 measurement report is 4 at one time, as agreed by RAN1.
 |
|  |  |

**Question B: Do you see any other critical issue that can be discussed in this email discussion [Post122][058][Mob18] Contents of Cell Switch MAC CE?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Issue?** | **Motivation/Clarification** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Discussion

**2.1 TA information in LTM MAC CE**

RAN1 agreement and LS to RAN4 (R1-2306259):

|  |
| --- |
| RAN1 has confirmed the following working assumption, which was made in RAN1#112:**Working Assumption**From RAN 1 perspective, UE-based TA measurement (UE derives TA based on Rx timing difference between current serving cell and candidate cell as well as TA value for the current serving cell) is supported. * Corresponding UE capability is to be introduced to support UE-based TA measurement
* For a UE reports support of this capability, configuration of UE-based TA measurement is supported
* FFS: other impacts on RAN1 spec

RAN1 respectfully asks RAN4 to analyze the feasibility of supporting this mechanism. |

On top of the endorsed MAC running CR R2-2306924, we will continue following discussion.

If RAN4 confirms the feasibility and RAN1 completes the design of this UE based TA measurement in August meeting, the LTM MAC CE needs to address the following cases: (If it is not eventually concluded by RAN4/RAN1, then there is no such case 2)

* **Case 1**: RACH-based (actual TA value not needed in the MAC CE)
* **Case 2**: RACH-less with UE based TA measurement (actual TA value not needed in the MAC CE)
* **Case 3**: RACH-less with actual TA value provided (including the zero or same value)

Rapporteur has following way forward as starting point:

**WF1: Postpone the design/discussion to October meeting for more RAN1/4 progress on UE based TA measurement** (since the MAC CE design could be simpler without case 2).

**WF2: Use 2-bits filed to directly indicate the case 1/2/3. The TA field is included in case 3.**

* If RAN1/4 does not complete the supporting of UE based TA measurement, use only 1-bit to indicate case 1 or 3.The TA field is included in case 3. [i.e. fallback to the above highlight behavior]
* Note that the TA field is already 12 bits. If this is optional field, it means the optional TA field itself causes two octets (12bits TA + 4bits R).

**WF3: Use 1-bit field to indicate whether it is case 2 or not. If it is not case 2 (e.g. when this filed is set to 0), one specific value (e.g. FFF) of the TA field indicates the case 1; otherwise, it is case 3.**

* If RAN1/4 does not complete the supporting of UE based TA measurement, one specific value (e.g. FFF) of TA field indicates the case 1; otherwise, it is case 3. [i.e. fallback to the above highlight behavior]
* Note that the TA field is already 12 bits. If this is mandatory field, it can be combined with some R and the Target Configuration ID field as the first two mandatory octets (e.g. 1bit R+ 3bits Target ID+ 12bits TA).

**Q1: Which WF do you prefer on the format of the TA information in LTM MAC CE?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **WF 1/2/3?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary: TBD**

**2.2 Active BWP ID in LTM MAC CE**

RAN1 achieved some consideration on the content of the LTM MAC CE, including the active DL and UL BWPs for the target cell.

|  |
| --- |
| From RAN1 point of view, at least the following information can be included in the cell switch command, which is conveyed by MAC CE* + Information to identify the target cell(s)
		- The details including bit number are designed by RAN2
	+ TA related information (details up to the discussion in A.I. 9.10.2)
	+ 1 joint or 1 pair of UL and DL unified TCI State index for the target Cell
		- Note: discussion on target SpCell is not precluded
	+ Active DL and UL BWPs for the target cell
	+ FFS: Triggering of aperiodic TRS transmitted from the target cell
	+ FFS: Triggering the CSI acquisition of the target cell and reporting to the target cell
	+ FFS: Triggering of aperiodic SRS transmission to the target cell
	+ FFS: C-RNTI
* FFS: the presence of each field (i.e. always present or configurable)

**Conclusion*** Whether active DL and UL BWP of the target Cell/SpCell field, within the cell switch command, is always present or not is left to RAN2 decision.
 |

It should be RAN2 final discussion on how to indicate the active BWP ID (RRC vs. MAC CE), by considering the corresponding network side coordination and other aspects which may be agnostic to other WGs.

This “active BWP ID” in LTM MAC CE introduces the **dynamic change** of active BWP of the target cell, which may be different with the *firstActiveUplinkBWP-Id* and *firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id* as in RRC pre-configuration.

The **motivation should be first clarified** on: 1) why target cell wants to change its decision upon trigger LTM, compared to the one provided in the pre-configuration phase; 2) why source cell needs to be involved in the decision of active BWP ID (BWP switch) of target configuration, which is supposed to be target cell strategy.

Note that the RP#100 conclusion has exclude the CSI-RS based L1 measurement for LTM candidate, which means the source cell has no measurement result on the BWP level for its judgment to dynamically change the active BWP ID.

**Q2a: Which option do you prefer on how to determine the active BWP ID of target cell?**

* **Option 1: Only based on the legacy *firstActiveUplinkBWP-Id* and *firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id* in RRC (pre)configuration corresponding to the target cell.**
* **Option 2: Using optional fields of “active UL/DL BWP ID” in LTM cell switch MAC CE. When included in the LTM MAC CE, it overrides the legacy *firstActiveUplinkBWP-Id* and *firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id* in RRC (pre)configuration of the target cell.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Option?** | **Comments** (Please clarify the technical motivation) |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option 1 | Source cell should always follow the target cell decision on the first BWP, which is the target cell strategy based on e.g. traffic load on different BWPs. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q2b: If your answer to Q2a is option-2, please also clarify whether the applicable scenario of the active BWP ID in LTM MAC CE is only for intra-DU, or also for inter-DU. Otherwise, you may skip this question.**

If you consider this is also for inter-DU, please clarify the F1AP signaling on how can the target DU know the updated active BWP ID (if it is source DU decision), and on how the source DU knows the updated decision on active BWP ID (if it is target DU decision).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Only intra-DU, or both intra-/inter-DU?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

As to the option-2, some details are still to be clarified:

* For RACH-based cell switch, the active UL BWP is supposed to be configured with RACH resource/configuration. If the active UL BWP can be dynamically changed, how to dynamically re-configure the RACH resource if the active UL BWP ID in LTM MAC CE is different with *firstActiveUplinkBWP-Id* in RRC, where the RACH should be configured. Or, do we assume all UL BWPs has to be configured with RACH?
* For RACH-less cell switch, if configured grant is used for the first UL data transmission, how to dynamically re-configure the CG resource on the updated active UL BWP, if it is different with RRC configured one. Or, do we assume all UL BWPs should be configured with CG resource?

**Q2c: If your answer to Q2a is option-2, please also clarify whether the applicable scenario of active BWP ID in LTM MAC CE is for RACH-based and/or RACH-less cell switch. Otherwise, you may skip this question.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **RACH-based, or RACH-less, or both?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary: TBD**

**2.3 SCell activation/deactivation in LTM MAC CE**

In order to support the simultaneously SCell activation upon LTM trigger, some companies propose to include the SCell activation/deactivation in LTM MAC CE, in addition to the legacy “*sCellState-r16*” in RRC pre-configuration of the target cell.

This **dynamic update** of SCell state may come from following motivations: the L1 measurement result to a candidate SCell changes a lot upon LTM execution, compared to the previous L3 measurement result upon RRC pre-configuration.

The similar situation exists also in CHO. And, in RAN1, it is not clear yet on whether the L1 measurement report can explicitly indicate the candidate SCell and whether the source cell can differentiate if the reported RS is for candidate PCell or candidate SCell.

Please note, for the SCell simultaneous activation upon LTM execution, there is no performance difference for LTM interruption time, between RRC pre-configured and MAC CE indicated manners.

**Q3a: Which option do you prefer to support the SCell activation simultaneously with LTM cell switch execution?**

* **Option 1: SCell state is based on the legacy *sCellState-r16* in RRC (pre)configuration corresponding to the target cell.**
* **Option 2: Using optional fields in LTM cell switch MAC CE to indicate the SCell activation/deactivation state. When included in the LTM MAC CE, it overrides the legacy *sCellState-r16* in RRC (pre)configuration of the target cell.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Option?** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option 1 | It is the target cell strategy to decide the SCell activation/deactivation, based on the UE traffic amount (i.e. how many carrier is required for UE traffic load).Even if the RSRP of some candidate SCell (with *sCellState-r16* set to “*activated*” in RRC) becomes weak at the time of LTM cell switch, the BFD and BFR can be used in the worst case with no critical issue (i.e. no need of dynamic control by the source cell). |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q3b: If your answer to Q3a is option-2, please also clarify whether the applicable scenario of the SCell activation/deactivation in LTM MAC CE is only for intra-DU, or also for inter-DU. Otherwise, you may skip this question.**

If you consider this is also for inter-DU, please clarify the F1AP signaling efforts:

* on how can the target DU know the updated SCell state (if it is source DU decision);
* on how the source DU knows the updated decision on SCell state (if it is target DU decision);
* on how the target DU knows the latest L1 measurement result on SCell, just in case it may change the decision (if it is target DU decision);

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Only intra-DU, or both intra-/inter-DU?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary: TBD**

**2.4 CFRA resource in LTM MAC CE**

There are some proposals to include the CFRA resource related information in LTM MAC CE, like following:

* Understanding 1: CFRA preamble index;
* Understanding 2: CFRA resources availability/validity indication;
* Other?

The motivation seems to save some reserved CFRA resource at LTM candidate cell side. The opponent view may be that NW can also choose to use CBRA for LTM cell switch, if the RACH resource is considered as limited at candidate cell.

Understanding 1 seems similar to the PDCCH order indicated preamble index, which makes the RRC configured shared/contention-based resource as dedicated for this UE.

Understanding 2 seems to withdraw/confirm the CFRA resource configured in RRC.

**Q4a: Do you agree the need of CFRA resource related information in LTM cell switch MAC CE?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Yes** (include) or **No** (not include)? | **Comments** (please clarify the detailed format (e.g. which understanding) of this kind of CFRA information, if you prefer to include) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q4b: If the answer to Q4a is yes, clarify the applicable scenario of CFRA resource related information in LTM MAC CE is only intra-DU or also or inter-DU. Otherwise, you may skip this question.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Only intra-DU, or both intra-/inter-DU?** | **Comments** (If you consider this is also for inter-DU, please clarify the F1AP signaling efforts) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary: TBD**

**2.5 UL grant in LTM MAC CE**

There are some proposals to include the UL grant related information to be used at target cell in the LTM cell switch MAC CE, which is supposed to be the enhancement, in addition to the CG configured in RRC and PDCCH monitoring of DG at target cell. However, it is not clear on the detailed format and how it is supposed to work, like:

* Is it kind of dynamic grant like the UL grant in RAR?
* Is it an index of some shared CG pool configured by RRC?

**Q5: Do you agree need of UL grant related information in LTM cell switch MAC CE?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Yes** (include) or **No** (not include)? | **Comments** (please clarify the details if you are supportive to this enhancement) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary: TBD**

**2.6 C-RNTI in LTM MAC CE**

RAN1#113 meeting agreement “*Whether C-RNTI that is to be used by target cell needs to be included within the MAC-CE containing cell switch command will be left to RAN2 decision*”.

**Q6: Do you agree need of C-RNTI in LTM cell switch MAC CE, in addition to the legacy *newUE-Identity* in *ReconfigurationWithSync* in RRC configuration?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Yes** (include) or **No** (not include)? | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary: TBD**

**2.7 LTM supervisor timer in LTM MAC CE**

This is somehow related to whether LTM uses the same supervisor timer for both RACH-less and RACH-based cell switch.

Even if the RACH-less specific timer is agreed, it still should be the baseline to consider using RRC to configure a RRC layer timer value.

**Q7: Do you agree need of the LTM supervisor timer value in LTM cell switch MAC CE?**

Please clarify your views on the motivation to have different configured value of LTM supervisor timer for RACH-less and RACH-based cell switch. And, also to clarify why RRC configured value is not sufficient.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Yes** (include) or **No** (not include)? | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary: TBD**

**2.8 LTM completion determination**

For LTM completion, how UE to determine the successful reception of its first UL data by the network (leftover issue which may need a new MAC CE in MAC running CR):

* Option 1: RLC ACK of *RRCReconfigurationComplete* message
* Option 2: C-RNTI addressed PDCCH
* Option 3: UE Contention Resolution identify MAC CE

Some agreement from NTN WI RACH-less handover discussion: ”*LTE approach (of confirming the HO completion) is reused for both pre-allocated grant and dynamic grant. FFS any enhancement to the confirmation of RACH-less HO completion, e.g. the NW does not send the UE Contention Resolution Identity MAC CE, and sends PDCCH/PDSCH addressed to C-RNTI*”

**Q8: Do you agree to use UE Contention Resolution Identity MAC CE (option 3) for UE to determine the successful reception of its first UL data by the network, in RACH-less cell switch?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Yes** or **No** (which option)? | **Comments** (Please clarify the critical issue why not to go with the approach considered by NTN and LTE RACH-less) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary: TBD**

**2.9 Fields size in MAC CEs**

Following fields are somehow related and may impact the design of the new MAC CEs in MAC running CR (mainly on how many octets are required in the format).

* **A:** “Target Configuration ID” field in the LTM Cell Switch Command MAC CE, i.e. the maximum number of LTM candiate cells in RRC configuration;
* **B:** “Candidate Cell ID” field in the Candidate Cell TCI States Activation/Deactivation MAC CE, i.e. the maximum number of candiate cells with RRC configured TCI state;
* **C:** “Cell indicator” field in PDCCH order for early RACH, i.e. the maximum number of candiate cells with RRC configured early RACH resource;

Some observations:

The maximum number for CHO candidate is 8;

The maximum number of reported cell in L1 measurement report is 4, as agreed by RAN1.

**Q9: Do you agree following proposal:**

* **A:** The size of “Target Configuration ID” field in the LTM Command MAC CE is 3-bits, i.e. the maximum number of LTM candiate cells in RRC configuration is 8.
* **B:** The size of “Candidate Cell ID” field in the Candidate Cell TCI States Activation/Deactivation MAC CE is 2-bits, i.e. the maximum number of candiate cells with RRC configured TCI state is 4.
* **C:** The size “Cell indicator” field in PDCCH order for early RACH is 2-bits, i.e. the maximum number of candiate cells with RRC configured early RACH resource is 4.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Yes** or **No** (other value)? | **Comments** (if no, any other suggestion?) |
| Field A | Field B | Field C |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

**Summary: TBD**

# Conclusion and proposals

TBD
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