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# Introduction

This is to kick off following email discussion:

* [POST113-e][707][V2X/SL] Spec update to level 3 logical slots (OPPO)

 **Scope:** Discuss the update of CG equation and other spec changes according to level 3 logical slots (i.e. logical slots within a resource pool).

 **Intended outcome:** Discussion summary and the corresponding CRs

# Discussion

During RAN2#113e meeting RAN2 agreed one recommendation from [1]:

Proposal1: The equation to define CG resource slot should be defined based on Level\_3 logical slots i.e. logical slots within one resource pool

* Agreed.

The relevant proposals i.e. proposal5 and proposal6 in [1] were also discussed and quite aligned among companies. Proposal7 was added a bit later. So to double check with companies view, these 3 proposals will be confirmed again to complete the CRs, one for 38.321 and one for 38.331. The proposal6 in [2] is left out during the email discussion [1] since it is decoupled from the discussion. Since now RAN2 made decision, this issue should be resolved also.

As indicated above, RAN2 agreed CG resource slot should be defined based on logical slots within one resource pool, it is obvious the periodicity of sidelink configured grant should go the same way. The original proposal on the periodicity parameter is scaled as following in [1]:

$sl\\_periodCG\\_RP=\left⌈\frac{N}{20 ms}×sl\\_periodCG\*K/L\right⌉$ (1)

Where:

* K is the total number of slots within the bitmap marked with 1
* L is the bitmap length

During the same meeting RAN2 received a RAN1 LS [3] which indicates two interpretation of the resource reservation period field in a SCI format 1-A. Based on the online discussion our understanding is that RAN2 will go for option1’ and one short post email discussion [701] is arranged to send response LS back to the RAN1 based on the agreement made in the discussion of R2-2102190. While in RAN1 one CR for option1’ is endorsed. The latest version is in [4] where the resource reservation period is defined as following:



It should be desirable that equation and key parameter could be aligned between sidelink CG (mode 1) and mode 2. In addition technically equation (2) is more accurate than (1). In light of this, it is proposed the scaled periodicity parameter as following:

$PeriodicitySL=\left⌈\frac{T'\_{max} }{10240 ms}×sl\\_periodCG\right⌉$ (2)

whereT’max is the number of slots that belongs to a resource pool as defined in clause 8 of TS 38.214.

**Question1: Do you agree the scaling equation(2) ?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Position (yes or no) | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Then from the answers to question 2.2-1 in [1] companies in general fine with equations to allocate resource for configured grant type1 and type2. To align the parameter names of the MAC spec, some adjustment on the parameter name seems necessary including the introduction of parameter T’max. By keeping the same principle, here is new form of the equation for companies to confirm:

Equation for sidelink configured grant type1:

*CURRENT\_slot=(sl-ReferenceSlotCG-Type1+ sl-OffsetSlotCG-Type1+ S × PeriodicitySL) modulo T’max* (3)

Where:

CURRENT\_slot refers to current logical slot in the resource pool

*sl-ReferenceSlotCG-Type1* refers to logical slot which is used for determination of the offset of a resource in a resource pool. The UE uses the closest logical slot with the indicated number preceding the reception of the sidelink configured grant configuration Type 1

*sl-OffsetSlotCG-Type1* refers to Offset of a resource with respect to logical slot = *sl-ReferenceSlotCG-Type1* in time domain, referring to the number of logical slots in a resource pool that can be used for SL transmission

Equation for sidelink configured grant type2:

*CURRENT\_slot=(sl-StartSlotCG-Type2+* S × *PeriodicitySL)* modulo *T’max (4)*

Where:

*sl-StartSlotCG-Type2* refers to the logical slot of the first transmission opportunity of PSSCH where the configured sidelink grant was (re)initialised

**Question2: Do you agree with equation (3) and (4) as well as interpretation of the parameters in principle?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Position (yes or no) | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The value range of offset prameter could be the same. The difference between *sl-TimeOffsetCG-Type1* and *sl-OffsetSlotCG-Type1* will be reserved slots and S-SSB slots in one sidelink configured grant period assuming the bitmap is filled with value “1”. And *sl-ReferenceSlotCG-Type1* could be still be a ENUMERATED parameter because only two value is possible i.e. 0 or ceiling(T’max/2).

**Question3:Do you agree the value range of *sl-OffsetSlotCG-Type1* is the same as *sl-TimeOffsetCG-Type1 i.e.* *INTEGER (0..7999)* and *sl-ReferenceSlotCG-Type1* is a ENUMERATED parameter with only one value?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Position (yes or no) | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Another question is that how to treat old parameters i.e. *sl-TimeReferenceSFN-Type1* and *sl-TimeOffsetCG-Type1* and new parameters i.e. *sl-ReferenceSlotCG-Type1* and *sl-OffsetSlotCG-Type1*. Restrictly speaking those two old prameters should be dummied because the updated equation is the only place they are applied and these two new parameters should be introduced to avoid backwards compability issue. But considering there is no deployment in the field of Rel16 sidelink configured grant featuer in the field at all and the value range is not changed if question3 is confirmed by companies, one alternative is to replace old two paramters with new parameters simply with updated parameter name and field description i.e. ASN.1 is reused.

**Question4: which alternative do you agree to treat these two old parameters and two new parameters?**

Alt1: To dummy these two old parameters and introduce these two new parameters in 38.331

Alt2: To simply replace two old parameters with two new parameters i.e. reuse existing ASN.1 with updated parameter name and field description

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Position (yes or no) | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

There are also parameters CURRENT\_slot and periodicity parameter in the equation to calculate sidelink HARQ process ID. During email discussion [2], majority companies agree that these two parameters should be aligned with equations to allocated sidelink CG resources. It means the equation should be updated as following:

HARQ Process ID = [floor(CURRENT\_slot / PeriodicitySL )] modulo sl-NrOfHARQ-Processes + sl-HARQ-ProcID-offset (5)

Where CURRENT\_slot refers to current logical slot in a resource pool.

**Question5: Do you agree with the update of equation and interpretation of CURRENT\_slot (5)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Position (yes or no) | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question6: any other spec impact or issue?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Issue description | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion
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