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# **Introduction**

This document contains email discussion:

R2-1902645 Email Discussions Qualcomm Inc

discussion

- Huawei think that Adapt entities should be discussed separately.

- Chair proposes to remove the part on entities

* [105#47] [NR\_IAB-Core] Bearer mapping (Ericsson)

Intended outcome: a report to identify options and possible “easy” agreements.

**Deadline:** Thursday 2019-03-28

*Discussion:*

The TR defines the term “bearer mapping” as “UE-bearer to RLC-channel mapping” but this is too restrictive since it does not apply to F1-C. Further, F1-C messages of different type might also have different priorities and therefore use different RLC channels. This raises the following questions:

* What are the criteria we want to allow for the mapping of an Adapt PDU to a BH RLC channel? (e.g. QoS, UP vs CP, PDU session, F1 connection, others).
* What information must be available at the access-IAB-node to enable this mapping in upstream direction? (e.g. mapping table with (F1-connection Id, BH LCID)-pairs).
* Which of these criteria require a re-mapping on intermediate IAB-nodes?
* What information must be available at the intermediate-IAB-node to enable this re-mapping? (e.g. none if remapping is not required).
* What information needs to be carried in the adapt header to enable the remapping on the intermediate-IAB-node?

# **2. Discussion**

The purpose of this email discussion is to apprehend different options for bearer mapping in an IAB network and identify common themes on this topic to be presented in RAN2#105bis. To make this discussion more productive, we have rephrased the above questions by bringing up explicitly the underlying issues of mapping in Donor DU, intermediate-IAB-node and Access-IAB-node. In addition, to provide companies the opportunity to express their point of view on how these issues can be tackled for N:1 and 1:1 mapping, as well as the mapping aspect of F1-C and OAM traffic, we have included three sets of questions.

It should be noted that we have not included the case of the DL mapping at the Access-IAB-node and UL mapping at the Donor DU because there is no BH RLC channel at the next hop and we can rely on F1/IP mechanisms.

Companies are invited to share their point of view, specifically about what information is used/needed for the mapping in each case, how the node(s) obtain the information, whether the information is provided via configuration or inband (e.g. Adaptation/IP header), and motivation behind remapping at the intermediate-IAB-node(s).

In the following, two sets of questions one for each type of mapping are provided, covering the bearer mapping issues raised in R2-1902645:

**Question 1: N:1 mapping UP**

**1a: How is the mapping performed for the UL at the Access-IAB-node?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Summary:

**1b: How is the mapping performed for the DL at the Donor DU?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Summary:

**1c: How is the mapping performed for the UL/DL at the intermediate-IAB-node(s)?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Summary:

**1d: Additional comments/aspects related to N:1 mapping?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Summary:

**Question 2: 1:1 mapping UP**

**2a: How is the mapping performed for the UL at the Access-IAB-node?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Summary:

**2b: How is the mapping performed for the DL at the Donor DU?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Summary:

**2c: How is the mapping performed for the UL/DL at the intermediate-IAB-node(s)?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Summary:

**2d: Additional comments/aspects regarding 1:1 mapping?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Summary:

**Question 3: Mapping of F1-C and OAM**

**3a: How is the mapping performed for the UL at the Access-IAB-node?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Summary:

**3b: How is the mapping performed for the DL at the Donor DU?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Summary:

**3c: How is the mapping performed for the UL/DL at the intermediate-IAB-node(s)?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Summary:

**3d: Additional comments/aspects regarding F1-C/OAM mapping?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Summary:

# **3. Summary**