UECap Comments file

Template:

# O002

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RIL Id | WI | Class | Title | Tdoc | Delegate | Misc | File version | Status |
| O002 | UECap, for NR\_ENDC\_RF\_Ph4 | 2 | Merging of 46-4, 46-5 | R2-25xxxxx | OPPO (Qianxi) |  | V002 | ToDo |

**[Description]**: Clearly, 46-4 is a subset of 46-5, in the sense that 46-4 supports a smaller number of MPR reduction combination compared to 46-5. So, there is no need for UE to simultaneously report the support of 46-4 and 46-5.

**[Proposed Change]**: R2 implement 46-4 and 46-5 as two enumerate values of a same capability. If R2 cannot converge, ask R4 whether there is a reason that UE has to report the support of both 46-4 and 46-5 other than 46-5 only using a LS.

**[Comments]**:

Instructions:

1. Copy the template RIL comments fields above (including the Heading Xnnn)
2. Paste the RIL comments fields at its position while **respecting the order of the RILs in the Review file (i.e. keep the order of the spec).**
3. Fill in the fields, see R19 ASN.1 Guideline.
4. Companies may comment whether they agree or disagree.
5. Can copy spec text and use Word “Track changes”, etc.
6. Do not delete text added by other companies.